



*communism is treason! *fight it with common sense!

The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

ISSUE No. 257 (11th Year)

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A., August 1, 1956

Five Cents

Constitutional Congress Can Stop World Government!

By Senator William E. Jenner

You have been hearing expert testimony on the fantastic taxes you are paying your government today. I will try to show you something of what you are getting for your money.

Let me take, as an example, one of Mr. Acheson's most curious achievements, NATO.

I mentioned, at the N. Y. meeting of For America, that the North Atlantic Treaty area includes not only Britain, France, Benelux and the other West European powers, but the African territories of the member nations, their airplanes and their ships at sea. I received a doubting letter from someone in California, who asked if I hadn't made a mistake. Wasn't NATO, he said, limited to the nations of Western Europe?

I quoted from the North Atlantic Treaty, and added, "The NATO area also includes the Atlantic Ocean, Canada and the United States. San Francisco is as much NATO territory as Paris."

Why do our people have this propaganda picture of NATO as something limited to Western Europe, instead of a vast empire stretching from Alaska to the borders of Iran? Perhaps some one planned it that way!

Another evidence of NATO's importance is its financial resources. A report in the N. Y. Times of April 22nd, from the North Atlantic Council, date-lined Norfolk, Virginia, stated that the NATO nations had spent 316 billion dollars on defense in the past seven years.

Of that amount the United States spent 252 billions, or about five times as much as the other thirteen nations combined. It is true that part of our expenditures were for the Pacific area, but it is also true that most of the NATO members have Pacific territories. We also make non-military contributions to help support the budgets of member nations.

You may wonder why American defense



Senator William E. Jenner

expenditures are included in the NATO figures. Just remember that NATO is a holding company which silently directs the defense programs of member nations as Sam Insull's holding companies used to direct your local light and power companies.

THE NATO

GOVERNMENTAL STRUCTURE

NATO does not stop with pious statements of intention. It has governmental organs to put its intention into effect. Some one planned it that way.

NATO has a secretary-general and other officials, and a permanent international civil service. This purely executive operation is nowhere subject to any limits on its powers.

NATO has organs for budgeting, purchasing, letting of international contracts, accounting, auditing and inspection. Like Topsy, these agencies just growed, but how they growed!

The NATO Council of Foreign and other Ministers is the top policy-making layer. Their permanent deputies come next. The Joint Chiefs of each member nation form a third supervising layer, and a military executive committee in Washington is a fourth layer, all above the military commander who sits in splendor at the headquarters called SHAPE.

These powerful but almost invisible agencies give political guidance and strategic directions to the commander of the NATO forces.

NATO has its own armed forces in being. This is the crucial test of sovereignty. Its forces are made up of parts of the armed forces of the Western European member states assigned to it, and the components of our army stationed in Western Europe.

Whoever is guiding the evolution of NATO has been careful to avoid anything which would arouse American suspicions. The commanders of NATO forces have always been American — Generals Eisenhower, Ridgeway, and Gruenthaler. But the military commander is low man on the totem pole of the policy-making hierarchy.

in NATO.

Now it is perfectly clear, if you read the record, that these military contingents from the member nations form one integrated NATO armed force.

It is the duty of the Supreme Commander to see that they are integrated. Great care has been used to avoid visible signs of this integration. Uniforms are different. Titles are different. All the unimportant things are different. Some members of our armed forces sincerely believe the national contingents are still legally and militarily independent but I see no grounds for any such illusion. This hope is part, I believe, of the natural tendency of professional military men to believe our powerful military establishment is unchanged, if the only change is in the fine print of a legal document.

NATO'S POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC POWERS

From the beginning, NATO has had political, economic and social as well as military, responsibilities. Article 2 of the Treaty proclaims the intention of the signers to promote conditions of "stability and well-being." Words like "stability and well being," in present-day legislative drafting, are designed to cover the waterfront. The Ismay Report on the first five years of NATO, says and I quote, "Peace is not merely the absence of war. Its maintenance requires continuous cooperation by governments in the economic, social, and cultural as well as in the military field." Article 2 is, in fact merely a restatement of Articles 55 and 56 of the United Nations Charter.

NATO's aim is to unite all the member states into one community. What will be left of the American experiment, when we have been integrated with the political system of France, the economic system of Turkey, the social system of Italy? I do not know, but some one knows.


I can not understand the present hullabaloo over giving NATO economic and political responsibilities. Certainly Mr. Dulles, Prime Minister Mollet, Foreign Minister Lester Pearson and the other ministers of NATO nations know what was in the treaty and is now in full operation. The Three Wise Men who were assigned to present a program for enlarging the role of NATO may be needed to prepare public opinion, but not to provide any new powers.

Military responsibilities are in themselves both economic and political controls.

Armies consist of men, supplies, weapons, and the command and staff structure. Supplies and weapons are economic problems. Command and strategy are political. NATO moved early to authorize its bureaucratic agencies to oversee the production of weapons and supplies, and the financial operations involved in defense production by member nations.

The significant area of economic and political control is the planning of expenditures yet to come. Here the key word is "coordinated."

—(Continued on page three)—



Congress Must Curb Supreme Court!

The Supreme Court of the United States, through the Segregation Decisions, and many previous decisions under the "commerce", the "welfare", and most of all, the "supreme law of the land" clauses of the Constitution, is completely destroying the fabric of our Republican form of Government. If the Court is permitted to continue the usurpation of the Legislative and Executive functions of government, both on the National and State level, it will make unnecessary the election of the Congress, the State Legislatures, county and municipal bodies, and every elected official in the States and communities, thus destroying the power of local public officials to protect the individual citizen. The United States District Courts would become the "commissars" for the operation of all government.

The above is from a speech of Representative John Bell Williams in the House of Representatives of the United States.

If you as an American citizen want to preserve our wonderful country, and wish to understand how ridiculous, impractical and criminal this Marxist move to abolish segregation really is, then we suggest you write for the complete speech of Congressman Williams.

Order Direct From

Federation For Constitutional Government
801 American Bank Building,
New Orleans, Louisiana
Single copy \$1.15 10 copies \$1.00

Only Two Men On The High Court Have Had Judicial Experience

Boston, Mass.

To each Member of Congress -
Senate and House
Dear Sir:

Let the managing directors of Eggheads, Inc. gloat, in company with the Communists, over some half dozen recent Supreme Court Decisions! Let A.D.A.'s dear Eleanor and Adlai, juniors Rauh and Schlesinger, Robert Maynard Hutchins and their duly appointed mouthpieces Murrow, Meyer, Alsop, Sulzberger & Co. crow, with the DAILY WORKER and the N.Y. TIMES, over the Desegregation decision, comrade Steve Nelson's case overturning 42 states' anti-sedition laws, all the way through to the latest which nullifies Nebraska's "right to work" laws.

I venture to suggest that their joy will be short lived.

These decisions can fool some of us all of the time, all of us some of the time—but they cannot fool all of us all of the time. "We, The People," are just waking up to the background of mediocrity characterizing our Supreme Court justices, a mediocrity which goes a long way toward explaining and accounting for the cute and cunning way these decisions were handed down, in direct conflict with the 9th and 10th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

The 9th Amendment reads:

"The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."

The 10th Amendment reads:

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

It doesn't take a law degree (or Supreme Court experience, which 7 of our 9 high court justices did not have prior to their politically expedient appointments!) to see how the "republican form of government" guaranteed under our Constitution is being changed to a "peoples democracy", the best known example of which is the U.S.S.R.

And all this change is being accomplished right under our noses without the slightest compliance whatsoever with Article V of our

Constitution, which sets forth the way, and only the way, our form of government can be changed.

Have "We, The People", no recourse to stop a controlled Supreme Court from taking away all our other rights, too?

We have a recourse—by impeachment of the incompetents—as provided in that same wonderful document of true freedom, our United States Constitution.

What are we waiting for?

Sincerely yours,
Kenneth D. Robertson, Jr.

Former Supreme Court Justice Speaks Out!

James F. Byrnes, former Justice of the Supreme Court of the U. S., also former member of the House of Representatives, a United States Senator, and Director of Economic Stabilization, Director of War Mobilization, U. S. Secretary of State, and now the Governor of the state of South Carolina.

Gov. Byrnes states:

"Power intoxicates men. It is never voluntarily surrendered. It must be taken from them."

"The Constitution authorizes the Congress to regulate the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Loyal Americans, who believe in Constitutional government, appeal to the court of public opinion in the hope that you will ask the Congress to act before it is too late.

"The present trend of the court brings joy to the Communists and fellow travelers who want to see all power centered in the federal government, because they can more easily influence one government in Washington than 48 governments in 48 states.... To preserve the republic we must preserve what is left of the powers of the states. You may be unconcerned today. You may cry tomorrow. THE SUPREME COURT MUST BE CURBED."

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF SMALL BUSINESS

Fellow Citizen:

The U. S. Supreme Court is setting itself up as the highest, most infallible tribunal, yet it has reversed itself on many occasions.

In order to restore confidence of the citizens of our nation in the U. S. Supreme Court and to protect the U. S. Constitution and Bill of Rights, your support is urgently requested in bringing about the approval by the U. S. Congress and the various State Legislatures of the Twenty-third (23) Amendment to the Constitution entitled:

To regulate the Supreme Court of the United States of America.

Be it resolved:

No person shall be appointed by the President and confirmed by the U. S. Senate to the Office of a Justice or Chief Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court unless he is a native born citizen of the United States of America and until he has served at least four years as a Judge of a lower Federal Court, or as a Judge of a court of last resort in one of the states composing this Union. Furthermore, not more than five persons adhering to the same political party shall ever serve as members of the U. S. Supreme Court at any one time, and the Chief Justice must be appointed from the members of the Supreme Court of the United States of America.

Resolved:

The Chief Justice must have served not less than four years as a Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court directly before appointment to the office of Chief Justice.

And be it further resolved:

The appointments of Justices and Chief Justice shall be limited to twelve years. After having served for twelve years a Justice and Chief Justice may be reappointed by the President and reapproved by members of the Senate.

Regardless of where you live, or what your political affiliations may be, you must be interested in the United States Supreme Court.

Only two of the Justices of the present U. S. Supreme Court have ever had any judicial experience. An appointment of a Supreme Court Justice should never again be approved as payment for a political debt.

The Judicial legislation being enacted by the U. S. Supreme Court to bring about a centralized government becomes more and more alarming to the citizens of our nation. Among the most disturbing rulings the Court has recently handed down are decisions nullifying the Fourteenth Amendment, the sedition laws of the various states, the decision on the Fifth Amendment, which makes it impossible for the states to protect themselves against Communist infiltration, the ruling regulating the development of the natural gas industry and interpreted the Robinson-Patman anti-monopoly law putting a loophole in it causing the greatest number of small business failures since before World War II.

We were successful in securing the approval of the Twenty-second (22) Amendment to the Constitution limiting the President's term of office to two terms of four years each. WE CAN DO IT AGAIN.

Your for keeping small business in business and

Very sincerely,
J. D. Henderson,
American Association of Small Business, Inc.



Governor J. Bracken Lee

LET AMERICANS RALLY

The days of subversion have run their course: we are now on the way back, on a trail blazed by sacrificial, selfless Patriots! The honorable J. Bracken Lee, Governor of the Sovereign State of Utah, has burned his bridges in a noble attempt to SAVE the American Constitutional Representative Republic and Government.

Gov. Lee has refused to pay part of his 1955 income tax. He has taken the position that under the Constitution of the United States, the Federal Government has no right to tax U. S. citizens for the purpose of giving their money to foreign nations.

Gov. Lee has deposited the amount of money supposedly due for the taxes in a bank in escrow.

As a great patriot, he is willing to make of himself, a test case in order to prove whether or not we still have a Constitution.

LET ALL AMERICANS EVERYWHERE, OF SPINE AND SPIRIT, RALLY BEHIND HIM! "Gird up your loins, and fight the good fight!"

WRITE YOUR SENATORS AND CONGRESSMEN

Read and pass on!

Entered as Second Class Matter, Jan. 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the Act of March 3, 1879.

STOP WORLD GOVERNMENT

—(Continued from page one)—

In the mushroom growth of the governmental network all over the world today, the Co-ordinators have all the fun. If any of you are ambitious to get a place in one of these hierarchies, get a job as a co-ordinator.

Then everybody will be working for your nod of approval, and their fate will be in your hands.

NATO puts what its members should do, in the form of "recommendations" to member governments. But what recommendations NATO has made to the American government I do not know. They are classified. You have to be a high official in the executive branch before you can be told. Members of Congress, the press and the people, lack the necessary intelligence or discretion or something. The facts on NATO must be kept from them!

At this point let me remind you there is nowhere in the North Atlantic Treaty system any provision for keeping Communists out of either its governing councils or its permanent international staff.

We know the high proportion of Communist voters in France and Italy. We know that, in Britain and Canada, Communism is strong, as it is in our own country. How much vital military information is channeled to the Communists by the NATO machinery? I do not know, but I consider it the neatest espionage set-up the Communists have yet devised, not excluding the agreement which put a Soviet national at the key point in the U.N. through which military reports are funneled. You remember how all General MacArthur's military reports on the Korean War were sent to a U.N. official from the USSR. How many such reports our busy bureaucrats are sending to NATO links in the Communist net, we can not know. Judging from the information we obtained under oath on the so-called international civil service of the United Nations, I fear the worst.

IS NATO REPLACING NATIONAL MILITARY FORCES?

We have one test case of how NATO planning works. That is in the mystifying developments in the re-arming of West Germany.

Somewhere in the maze of NATO it has been decided that West Germany may enlist her man-power, put her men in uniform and train them. But the West German government may not do certain other things. It may not have a military general staff. It may not have atomic weapons, chemical weapons, or the most modern planes.

Germany is the front line for the defense of all Western Europe. Who gains from a system which makes the German troops a mere armed horde, without its own general staff and without modern weapons of war?

Suppose we should face the threat of a war with the Soviet Union, and Germany wants to fight with us, but the other West European countries go neutralist? German troops will be bound hand and foot. Some one planned it that way.

I am troubled about another question. I wonder if this amputation of the essential organs of military power, in the case of Germany, is the pilot model for all national armies in the future? Are we moving—by deliberate plan—to the day when no nation will have a general staff, or atomic weapons, or air power?

NATO has a curious interest in civil defense. Now some of you are worried about the Constitutional dangers implicit in civil defense. These doubts were intensified in Washington, when our executive branch held a dress rehearsal for dispersal after an imaginary atomic bomb attack, and the President proclaimed martial law. Apparently it was a mistake in signals. The connection between atomic attack or even rumor of atomic attack, and martial law here at home, was not supposed to be brought out so clearly.

So far NATO has operated with a velvet



quiet. But it is getting too large and too important for secrecy. So what is the alternative? NATO is stepping up its machinery for saturating our minds with propaganda, through the printed word, motion pictures, radio, student tours, and all other devices for political brain-washing.

HOW NATO ERODES OUR CONSTITUTION

Constitutionally NATO is not responsible to the people of the member nations. Only the executive branch has any influence on the policies of NATO. Only the executive branch is permitted to learn what NATO decides. The growth of NATO is a continuation, in another form, of the mushroom growth of the executive power, far beyond the point where it can be influenced by Congress, the courts, the press or the people.

But note this. Under the American Constitution, when the people wanted to change their government policies, they voted the administration out of power, and out it went. Today our elected officials, and their advisers, are wedged tightly between an internationalist bureaucracy above them and an independent self-guiding bureaucracy below them. The officials in the front windows may be changed by a national election, but they can make almost no change in our policies.

I have given you the simplest, most unemotional and undramatic presentation I could make of the information about NATO, from its own published reports.

NATO is part of a system of regional pacts which includes SEATO, and the Organization of the American States, that strange new agency, which has locked the Pan American Union firmly into the United Nations, where we of the America's can now act only with approval of Soviet Russia in the Security Council.

Do you like this silent relentless drive to world government?

Do you think global executive supremacy is superior to American Constitutional freedom?

Do you think the clever men who planned this devious political strait-jacket should be trusted with making American policies, in the life and death struggle with the Communist elite?

CONGRESS ALONE CAN DEMOLISH WORLD GOVERNMENT

You ask what you can do, to help destroy this super-structure which cages us in.

There is no slightest hope that anyone in the executive branch can cast off the chains that bind us. The weaving of the net was too cleverly done.

YOU KNOW FULL WELL THAT OUR SUPREME COURT WILL NOT DO IT.

The only power that can restore Constitutional liberty is the Congress. On Feb. 11th, I said, "We must put none but Constitutionalists on guard in Congress." But people say, "What do you mean. How can we do that?"

Isn't it pitiful that Americans do not know how to get a Congress that represents them?

Let me give you a short-cut method of electing a Constitutional Congress. Study how left-wingers have year after year elected their left-wing, collectivist, internationalist spokesmen in Congress!

The collectivists understood something we have forgotten. The political contest to win the executive branch is entirely different from the contest for control of Congress. The executive branch operates as a unit. It is a Roosevelt administration, or a Truman administration, or an Eisenhower administration. The fight at election time is for large blocs of votes in key states, to win or lose all, for four years.

Congress is entirely different. Nearly 50% of the members of both houses speak for the party out of power. The problem is to tip the balance in Congress. A few districts mean victory. Every Congressional district, every Senatorial contest, in large or small states, is critical. It may be the battle which wins the war.

COLLECTIVISTS HAVE A GRAND STRATEGY TO WIN CONGRESS

The collectivists have carefully planned the strategy and tactics for Congressional elections. Sidney Hillman taught them, many years ago, to fight for the marginal districts, to win where the other party's margin was small.

The collectivists see the Congressional campaign as a chess-board on which is clearly marked the margin of victory, and the record of opposing candidates, in every Congressional district in the United States.

The objective of their strategy is to help collectivists to defeat Constitutionalists. They work in both parties, because they know the Constitutional struggle is far more fundamental than the contest between parties.

The collectivists have perfected three techniques. First, they build a vigorous organization in every district, to ring door bells. It is directed by their national agencies, but appears to work through local people.

Second, they build up a nation-wide propaganda to indoctrinate people with the left-wing policies which their candidates will be supporting.

Third, they mount an intensive campaign, backed by all their resources of money, propaganda, and organizations, to destroy the leadership candidates on the conservative side, and to elect outstanding candidates on their side.

For many years the collectivists have worked together brilliantly, along all three roads, regardless of party, to elect men to Congress who will serve their purpose, and to pass a political death sentence against those who oppose Communism, Socialism, big spending or internationalism. The attack on the 80th Congress, which exposed wartime Communism, was their most blatant, but not their only, victory.

CONSTITUTIONALISTS CAN BEGIN TO FIGHT FOR CONGRESS

The Constitutionalists have no comparable organization to help American nationalists in their districts, to create a tide of opinion in favor of Constitutionalism, or to strengthen the leadership on their own side in both houses, and defeat the leaders on the other side. They have never tried to tip the balance in Congress to the conservative side.

For decades, the voters who revere the Constitution have sulked in their tents like Achilles, while collectivists were out ringing door-bells, keeping up a continuous barrage of collectivist ideas in the press, over the air, and in a thousand papers and magazines, and sending their best teams to drive from public life the leaders of Constitutionalism in Congress.

For decades conservative voters have watched the balance of power in Congress being pushed toward the left, a little more every year, and done nothing. Then they wonder why Members of Congress who were elected by the left-wingers vote for foreign

—(Continued on page four)—

Communist Secret Manual Now In Print!



Kenneth Goff

Because of the drive to introduce Mental Health Bills in every state of our nation, and the attempt under the Alaskan Mental Health Bill to establish an American Siberia in Alaska, where men and women who fight Communism and the hidden government

could be incarcerated, and because of the wide use of fluoridation, and the brainwashing of our American boys in Korea, I felt it necessary to publish this secret manuscript of the Communist Party on how to seize a nation by the conquering of the minds of its people. This manuscript contains 16 chapters and an address by Beria to the Lenin University to a class on psychopolitics.

At the present time the Communists are seeking to capture the minds of the American public. They openly state:

"The end justifies the means. The degradation of the populace is less inhuman than their destruction by atom bomb, for to an animal who lives only once any life is sweeter than death. The end of a war is the control of a conquered people. If a people can be conquered in the absence of war, the end of war will have been achieved without the destruction of war."

Let me quote a few paragraphs which believe are very important in the book:

"You must work until religion is synonymous with insanity. You must work until the officials of city, county and state governments will not think twice before they pounce upon religious groups as public enemies." (page 60)

"By thus inviting the cooperation of the population as a whole in mental health programs, the terrors of mental aberration can be disseminated throughout the populace. Furthermore, each one of these mental

health groups, properly guided, can bring at last, legislative pressure against the government to secure adequately the position of the psychopolitic's operative, and to obtain for him government grants and facilities, thus bringing a government to finance its own downfall."

Time and space does not allow more quotes from the book, but this manual of the Communist Party used in this country lays the groundwork for the seizure of our nation without firing a shot. At present its program is going forward as per schedule, and it is high time that every American awaken to the realization of what is going on in its midst. This book is of vital importance to you and your family and to your friends. This is one of the most shocking revelations to ever come before the American public.

Kenneth Goff

Order the
Communist Secret Manual on Brainwashing
from
Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey
Price \$1.00 ----- 6 for \$5.00

TASS NEWS AGENCY COVER FOR SPIES!

FROM THE SENATE INTERNAL SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

The official Soviet news agency, TASS, poses a major security problem to the United States, said Senator James O. Eastland, (D-Miss.).

The Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, of which he is chairman, has recently been hearing a considerable volume of testimony about the agency, how it works, how it obtains its personnel, the type of news it handles and the way it selects its news.

"We have heard testimony, under oath," Senator Eastland said, "that 80 to 85 per cent of TASS employees are agents of one or another of the several Soviet intelligence groups.

"For instance, Col. Ismail Ege, who was chief of the Fourth section of Soviet Military Intelligence, charged with procuring technical military detail, told us that, when he was assigned to Berlin, in 1941, he was given credentials as a Tass employee under an assumed name and false personal history. At that time, he said, every member of the TASS staff was a Soviet intelligence operative. The same thing, he said, was true in Ankara, when he was assigned there later as press attache.

"TASS, he said, is an excellent 'cover' for a secret agent because 'we had social standing—(were) invited to social parties, to conferences, to press conferences. We had access to press members of other agencies.'

Ege said that, from his 12 years as a Soviet Intelligence agent, from his contacts with the director of Soviet intelligence and other high ranking intelligence officers, he knew that TASS is used in the United States, just as it is in other countries. He said TASS should be even more effective in the U. S. because of "freedom of movement—freedom of speech and (because) people could not believe that TASS was used for military espionage."


The Communist Party has its own network, Ege said, with contacts with the military groups and secret police. But he said TASS members are not allowed special orders to come in contact with foreign Communists, because of the possibility that either or both might be exposed.

Any contact, he said, between the Communist Party and the military intelligence or secret police networks is made secretly.

It is forbidden, Ege said, to use TASS transmission facilities for intelligence matters. Intelligence reports, he explained, are sent to Moscow by diplomatic pouch, or in cipher by radio.

Since the Soviet is a big power, he said, it needs cover for many espionage agents, and for that purpose it uses "all Soviet institutions operating abroad, like Soviet embassies, consulates, trade missions and so on," as well as TASS. He said that, while the first, second and third secretaries of a Soviet embassy are used as cover for intelligence operators, embassy employees are suspect and subject to surveillance. Other witnesses have testified that while Pan-yushkin was ambassador to this country from 1947 to 1953, he was chief of the Soviet intelligence service in Washington and that, after his departure from the U. S., became a high official in the Soviet intelligence service in Moscow. One of these witnesses, himself, operated as a Soviet intelligence agent from the cover of second secretary of a Soviet foreign mission.

Colonel Ege declared that, even in its news



Senator James O. Eastland

report, TASS presents a distorted picture of world events. "We were ordered," he said, "to select news in order that it be used in the interests of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union." He asserted: "I don't know a fact or a day when TASS was just engaged in an objective way of gathering news for news."

"Thus," said Senator Eastland, "we seem to have two indictments against this Soviet news agency: first, that it is used as a cover for Soviet spies; second, that it serves the interest of Soviet propagandists who take the selected news items and turn them back, in a distorted way to the whole world.

"I would not disturb the freedom of operation of any legitimate news agency or newspaper reporters in this country, nor do I wish to lessen any freedoms which are enjoyed by our newsmen, abroad, but it seems to me there should be some way to give fuller protection to the security and good name of the United States when it is subjected to such activities as have been attributed to TASS."

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

If you want to be a big help in the fight to save your country and to preserve your own liberty and freedoms, your best bet at this critical moment is to get on the job and help to boost the circulation of *Common Sense* up to a million copies. When the Americans are correctly and completely informed on any subject they will always do the right thing at the right time. MORE distribution and MORE subscriptions in your community may be the "straw that breaks the camel's back."

Purchase copies of *Common Sense*.

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500

One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50

First Class Sealed \$3.00 per year

Foreign and Canada \$1.00 ten mos.

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

STOP WORLD GOVERNMENT

—(Continued from page three)—
aid and big spending.

Jim Farley had a saying which we ought to heed. He kept repeating, "In politics, you can't beat something with nothing."

Congressional elections are three months away. For all of those three months the collectivist political machine will be in high gear, working in and through both parties, to destroy the remnants of American Constitutionalism. What will you be doing?

If you wish, you can do something. Now is the moment. You can take your eyes off Washington, and ring door-bells in your own district. You can ask For America to compile a list of the hard core of leadership on the left and try to defeat it. Also a list of the hard core of leadership on the American side, and reinforce it. You can study how the collectivists spread their slogans and symbols and then tell the story of our Constitution as it affects the fight today.

You may not win a majority in Congress on the first try, but you can begin to tip the balance your way. In each succeeding Congress you can do a little more. In a few short years you can have a Constitutional Congress. Then your Congress can cut down big spending and demobilize the bureaucratic elite. Or, if you wish, you can do nothing.

Let me end with this. While you do nothing, the mesh of world government is being woven tighter and tighter, in the U.N., in NATO, in SEATO, and in UNESCO.

It is moving to control your money, your foreign policies, your armies, and the minds of your little children.

The collectivists are watching. They will know the moment when they dare spring the trap. Once they spring the trap, my friends, I promise you, you will be helpless to do anything.

IKE AND CATTLE

Eisenhower knows the value of a purebred herd and on his Gettysburg farm practices segregation of his Black Angus from Hereford cattle.

Are we, human beings, not entitled to maintain a pure race of people as much as Eisenhower is entitled to maintain a pure breed of cattle? Evidently not, according to the Supreme Court's recent decree.

Andy Buckner

PLEASE DO NOT FORGET TO RENEW YOUR SUBSCRIPTION. WE HAVE SO MANY NEW SUBSCRIBERS THAT IT IS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO GET YOUR RENEWAL NOTICES OUT ON TIME.